

USDC SDNY

DOCUMENT

ELECTRONICALLY FILED

DOC #:

DATE FILED: 6/22/2022

Jason M. Drangel (JD 7204)
jdrangel@ipcounselors.com
Ashly E. Sands (AS 7715)
asands@ipcounselors.com
Brienne Scully (BS 3711)
bscully@ipcounselors.com
Danielle S. Yamali (DY 4228)
dfutterman@ipcounselors.com
EPSTEIN DRANGEL LLP
60 East 42nd Street, Suite 2520
New York, NY 10165
Telephone: (212) 292-5390
Facsimile: (212) 292-5391
Attorneys for Plaintiff
BIDI Vapor, LLC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

BIDI VAPOR, LLC,

Plaintiff

v.

ALDFACTORY,
DGMENGOU, DHGATEIUS,
ECIGARETTEDIRECT, ECIGCTO,
ECIGMANUFACTURE, ECIGSHOP88,
ITALY_NEST1, LINJIUYONG, ONE CLOUD PUFF,
RACHELSHOUSE, SOULLILY, SUNRISE_STORE,
VAPEFOREVER,
WONDERFULECIGS, and
YLHCGH,


Defendants

**CIVIL ACTION No.
20-cv-10408 (AT)**

**FINAL DEFAULT JUDGMENT
AND PERMANENT
INJUNCTION ORDER**

GLOSSARY

<u>Term</u>	<u>Definition</u>	<u>Docket Entry Number</u>
Plaintiff or BIDI	BIDI Vapor, LLC	N/A
Defendants	aldfactory, bestvaporseller, dgmengou, dhgateius, ecigarettdirect, ecigcto, ecigmanufacture, ecigshop88, italy_nest1, linjiuyong, One Cloud Puff, rachelshouse, soullily, sunrise_store, supervapes, vape08, vapecge, vapeforever, vapingforever, vidgewise001, vidgewise008, Wonderfulecigs, xi02 and ylhcggh	N/A
Defaulting Defendants	aldfactory, dgmengou, dhgateius, ecigarettdirect, ecigcto, ecigmanufacture, ecigshop88, italy_nest1, linjiuyong, One Cloud Puff, rachelshouse, soullily, sunrise_store, supervapes, vape08, vapecge, vapeforever, vidgewise001, vidgewise008, Wonderfulecigs, xi02 and ylhcggh	N/A
DHgate	Dunhuang Group d/b/a DHgate.com, an online marketplace and e-commerce platform which allows manufacturers, wholesalers and other third-party merchants, like Defendants, to advertise, distribute, offer for sale, sell and ship their wholesale and retail products originating from China directly to consumers worldwide and specifically to consumers residing in the U.S., including New York	N/A
Sealing Order	Order to Seal File entered on December 10, 2020	Dkt. 3
Complaint	Plaintiff's Complaint filed on December 10, 2020	Dkt. 7
Application	Plaintiff's <i>Ex Parte</i> Application for: 1) a temporary restraining order; 2) an order restraining Merchant Storefronts (as defined <i>infra</i>) and Defendants' Assets (as defined <i>infra</i>) with the Financial Institutions (as defined <i>infra</i>); 3) an order to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not issue; 4) an order authorizing bifurcated and alternative service and 5) an order authorizing expedited discovery filed on December 10, 2020	Dkts. 9-10, 12-13
Patel Dec.	Declaration of Niraj Patel in Support of Plaintiff's Application	Dkt. 10

Drangel Dec.	Declaration of Jason M. Drangel in Support of Plaintiff's Application	Dkt. 12
TRO	1) Temporary Restraining Order; 2) Order Restraining Merchant Storefronts and Defendants' Assets with the Financial Institutions; 3) Order to Show Cause Why a Preliminary Injunction Should Not Issue; 4) Order Authorizing Bifurcated and Alternative Service; and 5) Order Authorizing Expedited Discovery entered on December 11, 2020	Dkt. 17
PI Show Cause Hearing	January 26, 2021 hearing to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not issue	N/A
PI Order	January 26, 2021 Preliminary Injunction Order	Dkt. 26
User Account(s)	Any and all websites and any and all accounts with online marketplace platforms such as DHgate, as well as any and all as yet undiscovered accounts with additional online marketplace platforms held by or associated with Defendants, their respective officers, employees, agents, servants and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them	N/A
Merchant Storefronts	Any and all User Accounts through which Defendants, their respective officers, employees, agents, servants and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them operate storefronts to manufacture, import, export, advertise, market, promote, distribute, display, offer for sale, sell and/or otherwise deal in Counterfeit Products, which are held by or associated with Defendants, their respective officers, employees, agents, servants and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them	N/A
BIDI Products	Bidi Stick, a pre-filled, revolutionary e-liquid tank equipped with a fully charged battery and Class A Nicotine for adult smokers	N/A
BIDI Marks	U.S. Trademark Registration Nos.: 6,205,778  for “ ” for goods in Class 34; and 6,208,498 for “BIDI” for goods in Class 34	N/A
Counterfeit Products	Products bearing or used in connection with the BIDI Marks, and/or products in packaging and/or containing labels bearing the BIDI Marks, and/or bearing or used in connection with marks that are confusingly similar to BIDI	N/A

	Marks and/or products that are identical or confusingly similar to the BIDI Products	
Defendants' Assets	Any and all money, securities or other property or assets of Defendants (whether said assets are located in the U.S. or abroad)	N/A
Defendants' Financial Accounts	Any and all financial accounts associated with or utilized by any Defendants or any Defendants' User Accounts or Merchant Storefront(s) (whether said account is located in the U.S. or abroad)	N/A
Financial Institutions	Any and all banks, financial institutions, credit card companies and payment processing agencies, such as DHgate (e.g., DHpay.com), PayPal Inc. ("PayPal"), Payoneer Inc. ("Payoneer") and PingPong Global Solutions, Inc. ("PingPong") and other companies or agencies that engage in the processing or transfer of money and/or real or personal property of Defendants	N/A
Third Party Service Providers	Online marketplace platforms, including, without limitation, those owned and operated, directly or indirectly by DHgate, as well as any and all as yet undiscovered online marketplace platforms and/or entities through which Defendants, their respective officers, employees, agents, servants and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them manufacture, import, export, advertise, market, promote, distribute, offer for sale, sell and/or otherwise deal in Counterfeit Products which are hereinafter identified as a result of any order entered in this action, or otherwise	N/A
Defendants' Frozen Assets	Defendants' Assets from Defendants' Financial Accounts that were and/or are attached and frozen or restrained pursuant to the TRO and/or PI Order, or which are attached and frozen or restrained pursuant to any future order entered by the Court in this Action	N/A
Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment	Plaintiff's Application for an Order to Show Cause Why Default Judgment and a Permanent Injunction should not be entered Against Defaulting Defendants filed on June 11, 2021	TBD
Scully Aff.	Affidavit by Brienne Scully in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment	TBD

Patel Aff.	Affidavit by Niraj Patel in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment	TBD
-------------------	--	-----

This matter comes before the Court by motion filed by Plaintiff for the entry of final judgment and permanent injunction by default against Defaulting Defendants for Defaulting Defendants' trademark infringement, trademark counterfeiting, false designation of origin, passing off and unfair competition and related state and common law claims arising out of Defaulting Defendants' unauthorized use of Plaintiff's BIDI Marks, without limitation, in their manufacturing, importing, exporting, advertising, marketing, promoting, distributing, displaying or offering for sale and/or selling and/or sale of Counterfeit Products.¹

The Court, having considered the Memorandum of Law and Affidavit of Brienne Scully in support of Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment and a Permanent Injunction Against Defaulting Defendant, the Certificates of Service of the Summons and Complaint, the Certificate of the Clerk of the Court stating that no answer has been filed in the instant action, and upon all other pleadings and papers on file in this action, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

I. Defaulting Defendants' Liability

- 1) Judgment is granted in favor of Plaintiff on all claims properly pled against Defaulting Defendants in the Complaint;

II. Damages Awards

- 1) IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that because it would serve both the compensatory and punitive purposes of the Lanham Act's prohibitions on willful infringement, and because Plaintiff has sufficiently set forth the basis for the statutory damages award requested in its Motion for Default Judgment, the Court finds such an award to be reasonable and Plaintiff is awarded \$50,000.00 in statutory damages ("Individual Damages

¹ Where a defined term is referenced herein and not defined herein, the defined term should be understood as it is defined in the Glossary.

Award”) against each of the Twenty-Two (22) Defaulting Defendants pursuant to Section 15 U.S.C. § 1117(c) of the Lanham Act for a total of One Million One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$1,100,000.00), plus post-judgment interest.

III. Permanent Injunction

- 1) IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that Defaulting Defendant, its respective officers, agents, servants, employees, and all persons acting in active concert with or under the direction of Defaulting Defendants (regardless of whether located in the United States or abroad), who receive actual notice of this Order are permanently enjoined and restrained from:
 - A. manufacturing, importing, exporting, advertising, marketing, promoting, distributing, displaying, offering for sale, selling and/or otherwise dealing in Counterfeit Products or any other products bearing one or more of the BIDI Marks and/or marks that are confusingly similar to, identical to and constitute a counterfeiting and/or infringement of the BIDI Marks;
 - B. directly or indirectly infringing in any manner any of Plaintiff’s BIDI Marks;
 - C. using any reproduction, counterfeit, copy or colorable imitation of Plaintiff’s BIDI Marks to identify any goods or services not authorized by Plaintiff;
 - D. using any of Plaintiff’s BIDI Marks, or any other marks that are confusingly similar to the BIDI Marks on or in connection with the manufacturing, importing, exporting, advertising, marketing, promoting, distributing, displaying, offering for sale, selling and/or otherwise dealing in the Counterfeit Products;
 - E. using any false designation of origin or false description, or engaging in any action which is likely to cause confusion, cause mistake and/or to deceive members of the

- trade and/or the public as to the affiliation, connection or association of any product manufactured, imported, exported, advertised, marketed, promoted, distributed, displayed, offered for sale or sold by Defaulting Defendants with Plaintiff, and/or as to the origin, sponsorship or approval of any product manufactured, imported, exported, advertised, marketed, promoted, distributed, displayed, offered for sale or sold by Defaulting Defendants and Defaulting Defendants' commercial activities by Plaintiff;
- F. secreting, concealing, destroying, altering, selling off, transferring or otherwise disposing of and/or dealing with: (i) Counterfeit Products; (ii) any computer files, data, business records, documents or any other records or evidence relating to: the manufacture, importation, exportation, advertising, marketing, promotion, distribution, display, offering for sale and/or sale of Counterfeit Products by Defaulting Defendants and by their respective officers, employees, agents, servants and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them; and
- G. effecting assignments or transfers, forming new entities or associations, or creating and/or utilizing any other platform, User Accounts, Merchant Storefronts or any other means of importation, exportation, advertising, marketing, promotion, distribution, display, offering for sale and/or sale of Counterfeit Products for the purposes of circumventing or otherwise avoiding the prohibitions set forth in this Order.

2) IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Defaulting Defendants must deliver up for destruction to Plaintiff any and all Counterfeit Products and any and all packaging, labels, tags, advertising and promotional materials and any other materials in the possession, custody or control of Defaulting Defendants that infringe any of Plaintiff's trademarks, copyrights or other rights including, without limitation, the BIDI Marks, or bear any marks that are confusingly similar to the BIDI Marks pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1118;

IV. Miscellaneous Relief

- 1) Defaulting Defendants may, upon proper showing and two (2) business days written notice to the Court and Plaintiff's counsel, appear and move for dissolution or modification of the provisions of this Order concerning the restriction or restraint of Defaulting Defendants' Frozen Assets, Defaulting Defendants' Additional Assets and/or Defaulting Defendants' Additional Financial Accounts;
- 1) Any failure by Defaulting Defendants to comply with the terms of this Order shall be deemed contempt of Court, subjecting Defaulting Defendants to contempt remedies to be determined by the Court, including fines and seizure of property;
- 2) The Court releases the Five Thousand U.S. Dollar (\$5,000.00) security bond that Plaintiff submitted in connection with this action to counsel for Plaintiff, Epstein Drangel, LLP, 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 2520, New York, NY 10165.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 22, 2022
New York, New York



ANALISA TORRES
United States District Judge